

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/653,416	08/31/2000	Kenji Tagawa	2000 1199A	4661	
7590 12/23/2005		EXAMINER			
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP			LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A		
Suite 800 2033 K Street	NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20006			2131		
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

O9/653,416

Examiner

Christian La Forgia

Applicant(s)

TAGAWA ET AL.

Art Unit

2131

,	Examiner	Art Unit	I			
	Christian La Forgia	2131				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Christian La Forgia.	(3) Jonathan Bowser (54,5	<u>74)</u> .				
(2) Ayaz Sheikh.	(4) <u>Yoshinobu Idogawa</u> .					
Date of Interview: <u>19 December 2005</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 5-13</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US Patent Nos. 6,418,46,421,685 (Nishikawa)</u> .	121 (Hurtado), 5,920,861 (Hal	l), 5,515,532 (lijii	<u>na),</u>			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	[,] been filed, APP √ DAYS FROM WHICHEVER IS	PLICANT IS THIS S LATER, TO			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant

-•≥ . •≥

- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

PTOL-413A (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0551-0031

41:4 Tuisinsni Y-4n.			DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI
Applicant Initiated Inter	view Request	POLIU	
Application No.: 09/653,416 First Named Applicant: Ke Examiner: Christian Laforgia Art Unit: 2131	Status of Appl final Office	ication: <u>Pend</u>	ing - after non-
Tentative Participants: (1) Jonathan R. Bowser, Reg. No. 54,574 (2) Yoshinobi	ı Idogawa, represe	ntative of Assig	<u>nee</u>
(3) Examiner Ayaz Sheikh (4) Examiner	Christian La Forgi	<u>a_</u>	
Proposed Date of Interview: <u>December 19, 2005</u> Propos	ed Time: <u>10:</u>	00	AM
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [] Telephonic (2) [X] Personal (3) [] Video Co	nference		
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES If yes, provide brief description:	ON[X]		
Issues To Be Discus	sed		
Issues Claims/ Prior (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rejection Clams 1 & 5-13 Applied References	[]	[]	[]
(2) Concept of "moving" a content - claims and Figures 14 and 2	<u>6</u> []	[]	[]
(3) Concept of "dividing" a content - claims and Figure 17	[]	[]	[]
(4)	[]	[]	[]
[] Continuation Sheet Attached			
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:			
The Examiner asserts that the applied references (Hurtado e limitation of the independent claims. The applied references Examiner also asserts that an obviousness rejection cannot be Notwithstanding the applied references' failure to disclose all references do not even contemplate the objects of the present in combination, cannot be used to reject the claims of the pretaking bits and pieces of various non-pertinent references has invention to arrive at the claims of the present present invention. An interview was conducted on the above-identified applicate NOTE:	e overcome by at limitation, at limitations of the invention, and the sent application wing different tection.	to the description of the descri	ference individually. laims, the applied plied references, even has resorted to ctives than the presen
§ 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of ap interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement as soon as possible.	nlicant's failure t	o submit a writ of this interview	ten record of this

(Applicant/Applicant's Representance Signature)

(Examiner/of Established Signature)

(Examiner of Established Signature)

WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006

> Telephone: (202)721-8200 Facsimile: (202)721-8250

FAX TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

To:

Examiner Christian La Forgia

Group Art Unit 2131

Company Name:

USPTO

Fax Number:

571-273-3792

From:

Jonathan R. Bowser

Date:

December 8, 2005

Re:

Application Serial No. 09/653,416 TAGAWA et al.

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES TRANSMITTED, INCLUDING COVER SHEET 2

Message:

As per your request, transmitted herewith is an Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form briefly describing the issues that we propose to discuss with you in a personal interview. Representatives of the Assignee will be traveling from Japan for the interview, and our client has requested that your supervisor, Examiner Ayaz Sheikh, also participate in the interview. I have proposed an interview date of December 19, 2005, because that was our client's preferred date. Please contact me at 202-721-8204 at your earliest convenience so that we can schedule the interview. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter. I look forward to your response.

CONFIDENTIALITY

The documents transmilled herewith contain confidential and/or privileged information intended only for the use of the person or entity to whom addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or an agent of the recipient responsible for delivering it to the Intended recipient, then you have received this transmission in error and are asked to promptly advise us by telephone or lax, and return the document to us by mail. Unauthorized copying, distribution, disclosure or other use of this information by anyone other than the intended recipient or their designee is prohibited.

IF THERE ARE ANY PROBLEMS WITH THIS TRANSMISSION OR IF YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED ALL OF THE PAGES PLEASE CALL (202), 721-8204.

Fox Operator: Nicole Jones